An Ontology-Based Conversation System for Knowledge Bases

Abdul Quamar¹, Chuan Lei¹, Dorian Miller², Fatma Özcan¹, Jeffrey Kreulen², Robert J Moore¹, Vasilis Efthymiou¹

¹IBM Research-Al, ²IBM WatsonHealth



Conversational system for exploring domain specific knowledge bases

- Enable interaction using a natural dialog
- Characterized by:
 - Ability to understand and respond in natural language
 - Persistent context across turns
 - Interactive experience for data exploration



- Corticosteroids





Building conversational systems for domain specific knowledge bases

- Semantic understanding of the knowledge base data
 - Entities, relationships, hierarchies
- Design and automatic population of conversational artifacts
 - User intents: queries that can be answered by the knowledge base
 - Entities: domain vocabulary
 - Dialog: patterns of interaction with the user

Goal: Develop a principled methodology to build conversation system for querying domain-specific KBs, in a domain-agnostic way



Ontology-based system architecture





Ontologies to describe the domain schema

- Ontologies capture the semantics of the domain schema of the knowledge base
 - Concepts
 - Data Properties
 - Relationships
- Provide a rich and expressive data model
- Powerful-object-oriented paradigm
 - Capture real world relationships: Inheritance, Union, Functional



Domain knowledge captured in the ontology + SME feedback -> Enable bootstrapping the conversation space



Bootstrapping the conversation space



Intents:

- Intents express the purpose or goal expressed in the user query/input
- System uses ML Classifiers/Deep NNs
 to identify intents

Entities:

• Represent real world objects relevant in the context of a user query

Dialog:

 Uses discovered intents, entities and context from the application to respond to the user

Knowledge Base data:

- Interaction with knowledge base data through structured queries
- Stored under different data models: Json, Relational



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Intent generation

- Key concepts
 - Stand on their own
 - Represent domain entities that a user is interested in
 - Identified using centrality analysis and statistical segregation
- Dependent concepts
 - Concepts in the neighbor-hood of key concepts
 - Use data statistics to identify categorical attributes
 - Union and Inheritance have special semantics
- Intents: query patterns
 - Described as subgraphs around key and dependent concepts



Leveraging the ontology structure



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Intent generation Query Patterns



- Inheritance



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Intent generation



Direct Relationship Pattern

The pair of identified key concepts are connected via at least one direct (one-hop) relationship between them.

Query Patterns

Pattern 1 Give me the Drug and its Dosage that treats <@Indication> Intermediate Concept 1 Relationship Key Concept 2 Key Concept 1 Query 1 Give me the Drug and its Dosage that treats Fever Pattern 2 Give me the Dosage for <@Drug> that treats <@Indication> Intermediate Concept 1 Relationship Key Concept 2 Key Concept 1 Query 2 Give me the **Dosage** for Aspirin that treats Fever

In-Direct Relationship Pattern

The pair of identified key concepts are connected via multiple hops of relationships



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Generating training samples

Lookup	Show me the Precautions for <@Drug>?		
Query Pattern	1	1	Î
	Initial Phrase	Dependent Concept	Key Concept

TrainingShow me the Precautions for Aspirin?ExamplesTell me about the Precautions for Ibuprofen?Give me the Precautions for Ibuprofen?

Instances of Key Concept



Query patterns viewed as subgraphs over the domain ontology Entities and corresponding data instances used to automate generation of training examples

Augmentation of training examples using SMEs and prior user experience



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Structured query template generation



- Each intent mapped to a structured query template
- Template populated with identified entities to generate structured query
- Structured query used to retrieve results from the KB

Lookup Query Pattern	Show me the Precautions for <@Drug>?		
Training Give me the Precautions for Ibuprofen ? Example			
Structured Query(SQL	SELECT oPrecautions.description FROM Precautions oPrecautions INNER JOIN Drug oDrug WHERE oPrecautions.for=oDrug.DrugID AND oDrug.name = 'Ibuprofen'		
Structured Query Template	SELECT oPrecautions.description FROM Precautions oPrecautions INNER JOIN Drug oDrug WHERE oPrecautions.for=oDrug.DrugID AND oDrug.name = '<@Drug>'		



Bootstrapping the conversation space: Entity extraction

Entities represent the domain vocabulary of the conversational system

- All concepts from the ontology
- Categorical attributes
 - Data instances added from KB
- Domain specific synonyms for
 - Ontology concepts
 - Data instances

Entities:	Examples	
Concepts:	Drug, Precautions, Dosage, Indication	-Ontology concepts
Risk:	Contra-Indication, Black Box Warning	Concepts grouped under Risk
Drug Interaction:	DrugFood Interaction, DrugLab Interaction	Concepts grouped under Drug Interaction
Drug:	Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Citicoline, Pancreatin	Instance values of Drug
Indication:	Fever, Headache, Bronchitis, Diabetes	Instance values of Indication
Contra-Indication:Cardiovascular disease, Breast carcinoma		- Instance values of Contra-Indication

Entity	Synonyms	
Adverse Effect:	Side effect, adverse reaction, adverse event, AE	
Condition:	disease, finding, disorder	
Drug:	medicine, meds, medication, substance	
Precaution:	caution, safe to give	
Dosage:	dosing	
Dose adjustment: dose modification, dosing modification, dose reduction		

Bootstrapping the conversation space: Building dialog

- Dialog tree
 - Defines the space of user utterances the system can recognize and respond to
 - Enables interactive experience for the user
 - Responses conditioned on
 - Combination of intents and entities identified in the user utterance
 - Context captured from previous utterances



Designed to handle both domain specific requests and general conversation management



IBM Micromedex (MDX)

An evidence-based clinical decision support application

- Summary and expanded content from the world's biomedical literature
- Includes drug information, toxicology, diseases and conditions, and alternative medicine

MDX ontology

• 59 Concepts, 178 Properties, and 58 Relationships

Conversation space

- 36 intents
 - 14 lookup and 8 relationship patterns
 - 14 intents for conversation management
- 52 Entities and corresponding data instances



Conversational Micromedex Works Great!!



Success rate per intent provided by users for top 10 intents

Success rate per intent provided by SMEs for top 10 intents



Average success rate across all intents 96.3%

Top 10 intents account for 75% or workload

Overall F1-Score of Intent identification 85%



Conclusions

- Our ontology-based framework provides sufficient semantic information for building an effective conversation system
- Our bootstrapping mechanism creates a rich conversation space
- Access to prior user queries and SME knowledge further improves the precision
- Our results show that the overall conversational system is very effective in exploring knowledge bases
 - Average success rate across all intents is 96.3%





